YOUR POLITICAL AMMUNITION—Five HUMAN EVENTS Special Supplements: (1) Labor Monopoly, (2) The Truth About ADA, (3) A Conservative's Guide to Political Action, (4) The Case Against Socialized Medicine, (5) Tax Freedom Day. See page 663. Send them to liberals. Some will be converted. Send them to conservatives. Many will become political actionists. Give them to high school and college students. They will become conservatives.

Human Events — YOUR WASHINGTON REPORT

Vol. XIX, No. 35 September 1, 1962 Section 1 — News

KHRUSHCHEV: *A Munich at the UN?* .....(651)

STOCKPILING: *'Sanctimonious Stu'* .....(651)

TRADE BILL: *A 'sure thing' falters* .....(652)

BRAINWASHING: *Education, JFK-style* ....(653)

NEW GUINEA: *Australia's Cuba?* .....(654)

Assignment in New York: Reports from Moscow, Bonn and London claim Khrushchev plans to attend the UN meeting in New York at the end of September and wants to thresh out the Berlin problem there.

Some dismay appears in West Germany, because if the Red Czar shows up in New York, he may have a huddle, clandestine or open, with President Kennedy, who has said: "If he did come, I would hope that we could have a chance to talk with him." And such a meeting fits in with a thesis —upheld for many months by political officials in Bonn—that Kennedy plans a dramatic "peace in our time" announcement to win votes in the congressional elections.

According to reports from HUMAN EVENTS correspondents in Europe, the bleakest view of any such "summit" in New York is taken by Bonn and Paris. The backing and filling by Secretary of State Dean Rusk, the bypassing of our Western allies in the Rusk-Dobrynin talks and a pile of irritating incidents between the US government, on the one hand, and the French-West German combination, on the other, have created a frame of mind among these allies expressed by the words: "the contemplated betrayal" of Germany by Kennedy's advisers and diplomats.

German officials in Bonn, reports a HUMAN EVENTS correspondent, are agonizingly apprehensive that a Khrushchev "assignment to the UN" come September will presage a sellout of West German interests in Berlin.

While Bonn officialdom likes the personality of the young American President, it does not trust his competence in foreign affairs. One wisecrack current in the West German capital runs as follows: "You put a 12 year old at the wheel of a powerful sports car and let him drive it in a world auto race against experienced drivers, and you get disaster."

One top Bonn diplomat said to the HUMAN EVENTS correspondent: "The Kennedy Administration has blundered in foreign policy to such a degree that confidence in America has descended to a low never known before in relations between the US and the outside world." West German political observers wonder why the American public (while dubious of JFK's ability in domestic affairs) nevertheless think he's handled foreign affairs very well.

One consequence of this drop in US prestige worries officials of the Adenauer government: the rise of West German nationalism, which expresses itself not only against Soviet Russia, but, unfortunately, also against the United States. Last week, during the riotous incidents at the Berlin Wall, the crowd booed not only the Red puppet East Berlin police, but also the US soldiers who did not intervene against the Reds to help a dying youth.

Bonn officials told the HUMAN EVENTS *correspondent that the Soviet Russian diplomats are trying to exploit this growing German nationalism.*

They tell the West Germans: "America can never unite East and West Germany. Only Moscow can do that. If Adenauer will only take the German army out of the NATO, Khrushchev will guarantee union of the two parts of Germany." As tensions rise over the Wall, this sales talk, it seems, is making progress with numerous West Germans. And an "assignment in New York" next month could have serious repercussions, despite Adenauer's friendship with the US.

Look Who's Talking: Two largely unreported facts emerged from discussion among working members of the press about last week's spectacular collision between George M. Humphrey, Secretary of the Treasury under Ike, and Senator Stuart "Sanctimonious Stu" Symington:

(1) The Missouri Democrat sought to pillory the wealthy Humphrey as a greedy, profit-seeking reactionary, but the latter foiled Symington's efforts by giving the biggest news break of the whole affair to one of the most prominent liberals among Washington's largely liberal press corps—Richard Strout of the *Christian Science Monitor*. Humphrey told Strout that Symington and his liberal probers in the stockpile inquiry "don't dare attack Ike direct, so they are attacking me."

Copyright 1962 by HUMAN EVENTS

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Strout ran the story in the *Monitor*, Symington saw it and blew up. He emotionally accused Humphrey of "impugning the motives of the Senate" and abruptly adjourned the hearings. Opinion in Congress and the press was practically unanimous that Symington had proved no "conflict of interest" against Humphrey, that the latter actually dominated the hearings and made Symington look ridiculous. The Senator obviously took the quick way out of a bad situation.

All observers believe that the Kennedy Administration's strategy (of which the Missouri Senator was an agent) of trying to smear the Eisenhower Administration in order to obscure its own sins suffered a bad blow from the Humphrey-Symington exchange.

(2) Memories of Symington's own record are still fresh. Willard Edwards, Chicago *Tribune* Washington correspondent, in an article on the Missouri Senator (HUMAN EVENTS, April 28, 1960) recalled that Symington's wealth is based "upon a million dollar profit he made during World War II as President of the Emerson Electric Manufacturing Co. of St. Louis."

"The Company," wrote Edwards, "hadn't paid a dividend for ten years when he [Symington] took it over in 1938 at the invitation of a long-time friend and golfing companion, James Forrestal, who moved to Washington in 1940, eventually winding up as Secretary of Defense. Symington made other influential friends, John W. Snyder, later Secretary of the Treasury, and Harry Truman, then a Senator from Missouri and chairman of a special Senate committee investigating the defense program.

"War contracts began flowing to the Emerson Company and it was expanded with government funds. In 1941, according to Securities and Exchange Commission files, the company (of which Symington was president, board chairman and general manager) rewarded him for 'extraordinary and unusual services' by giving him an option to purchase 50,000 shares of stock at $4 a share. The stock was worth more than $20 a share when Symington exercised his option in 1943 and 1944.

"His $200,000 investment was worth $1,220,046 when he quit the company in July, 1945, to enter government service. The SEC files at the time showed his sale, for a profit of $574,126, of 28,000 shares but did not reveal disposal of the 10,000 shares he retained in his wife's name and 12,000 shares in his own name. The profits, of course, were subject to a capital gains tax instead of the much higher income tax."

Hence, the Symington record, it is remarked, inspires the comment, "Look who's talking."

JFK In South Dakota: What is believed to be a new and unprecedented chapter in American political history was written on the occasion of President Kennedy's "non-political" trip to Pierre, South Dakota, and Senator Goldwater's subsequent visit to that state. JFK went to speak on August 17 at the site of the Oahe Reservoir on the Missouri River, and Senator Goldwater arrived on August 18 to address a political rally in Sioux Falls sponsored by the Young Republicans of South Dakota.

Newspapermen and observers were agreed that the audience gathered to hear President Kennedy approximated 5,000 people, whereas the Young Republicans report that over 5,200 tickets, at $1 each, were sold to hear the address of Senator Barry Goldwater the following night.

Never before in American history is it recorded that fewer people showed up to hear a free address by a visiting President at a public ceremonial occasion than gathered to pay $1 each for the privilege of hearing a visiting United States Senator address a political rally. More than any Gallup Poll or private survey of voters' attitudes, this fact indicates the Upper Midwest has "had enough" of paternalism and high pressure government.

Trade Bill: Until recently it has been assumed that the Kennedy trade bill would survive the increasingly critical Congress, indeed might be the only White House bill that could be relied upon to win congressional passage. But, in the last week, Capitol Hill observers have been coming around to the opinion that this measure, on which the President lays great stress, may also fail to get over the legislative hurdle. They cite the following reasons:

(1) Feeling in the textile industry that the leaders of that industry were "led down the garden path" by White House officials when they supported the trade bill. Their *quid pro quo*, promised by the White House, remains largely unfulfilled or worthless.

Their disappointment has spread to other segments of business, leading many erstwhile supporters of the Kennedy trade bill to wonder if they aren't "buying a pig in a poke."

(2) Harry F. Byrd (D.-Va.), powerful Chairman of the Senate Finance Committee, is taking strong exception to some sections relating to compensation to industries and workers which might be harmed by lowering US tariffs under the Kennedy bill. Some observers attribute to Byrd a growing skepticism about the whole bill. If he decides to fight it, it may well be lost.

(3) Left-wing liberals, in the aftermath of their bitter experience in filibustering the Telstar bill, may be in no mood to cooperate with the Administration in passing the trade measure. True, they support it as it now stands, but they may toss in stiffer amendments, complicating the parliamentary situation and preventing the "give-and-take" which may be necessary to pass a bill satisfactory to the White House. This—as the session nears its end—could result in no bill at all.

(4) Complicating the picture for the Senate floor managers is Under Secretary of State George W. Ball's recent testimony that the Administration favors certain tariff reductions on goods imported from the Communist nations of Yugoslavia

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

and Poland. Such trade advantages, originally written into the bill, were deleted by the House. Ball, sometimes described as the chief architect of the current legislation, has not endeared the bill to many Senators with his frank admissions, since many are bitterly opposed to any plan to aid Communist bloc nations.

Indeed, the well-informed Raymond Moley, in his column in the New York *Herald Tribune* (August 9), remarked: "In a Congress anxious to get down to the business of campaigning for re-election, it becomes more than likely that there will merely be a renewal of the old Reciprocal Trade Agreements Act for another year." Moley blames the President for "asking too much." He calls it a "dangerous bill."

Brainwashing Job: The Chinese Communists aren't the only ones familiar with brainwashing. This year the Administration has initiated a new program which all summer long has shampooed the grey matter of 7,900 high school graduates and college students holding "summer jobs"—mostly salaried—with the federal government in Washington, D. C.

Last June 20, the President announced to students at Constitution Hall that special seminar programs were being started for their benefit for the purpose of showing them that a government job needn't be dull and dreary but could provide barrels of fun and excitement.

Yet so far these seminar programs, allegedly designed to recruit needed government workers, have turned into nothing more than cheering sessions for the New Frontier. At 12 different seminar sessions held so far, not one Republican has spoken to the students. The only "Republican" invited—and he couldn't attend—was Supreme Court Chief Justice Earl Warren.

While FBI chief J. Edgar Hoover and William McChesney Martin, Chairman of the Federal Reserve System's Board of Governors, both notable conservatives in the Administration, were not invited to speak at the sessions, quite a roster of liberal New Frontiersmen have come to hold forth on various subjects. UN Ambassador Adlai Stevenson, for example, told students how exciting government can be by claiming that Russia has never won a battle in the UN; Solicitor General Archibald Cox defended the Supreme Court's prayer decision; Robert Weaver plugged for urban renewal; etc.

Speaker of the House John McCormack gave a speech in which he made derisive remarks about Ike, says one student who attended.

The brainwashing sessions, of course, are paid for by the US taxpayers. The government, which rents Constitution Hall for the seminars, shells out $625 for each session; some students are paid travel fare to attend; army buses are used to cart other students to the programs; and the students get full salaries while they listen.

"There's never been such a program before," says Mrs. Dorothy Davies, the director. "Similar and intensive educational programs are also being given within each federal Department," she added.

UN Bond Issue: The $100 million UN bond purchase plan which has emerged from the House Foreign Affairs Committee is expected to come up shortly for a vote in the House. Congressional leaders are claiming it will be a close contest and are making no solid predictions as to the outcome.

Some of the opposition to the bond plan in the House has dwindled as a result of the severe overhauling it received in the House Foreign Affairs Committee. As the House bill now stands, the US will only have to match one dollar for every dollar put up by foreign countries. In addition, the committee slapped in a clause making it illegal for the US "loan" to be used to reduce the assessments of other UN members. This action came after it had been revealed that American dollars were being used to reduce the UN dues of Communist countries.

Representative E. Ross Adair (R.-Ind.), member of the Foreign Affairs Committee, is one of those opposed to even the radically revised bond plan. He says it would "merely serve to postpone the inevitable—an overdue reappraisal of the UN's financial position and 'peace-keeping' operations."

H. R. Gross (R.-Iowa) plans to offer an amendment which would forbid the US to put up a single dime until all UN members pay their back assessments.

Fryingpan Project: While the Administration insists it needs rigid controls over the farmer to reduce the mountainous surplus of agricultural commodities in government storage, Senator John J. Williams (R.-Del.) has shown that the Administration itself is sponsoring vast spending projects which will balloon the output of farm products.

The Delaware Senator, ranking Republican on the Finance Committee, has, for example, scored the recently passed Fryingpan-Arkansas project legislation supported by the President in his March 1 conservation message. (This is the project which Kennedy visited and extolled on his Western, so-called "non-political" tour.) The new project involves bringing water from the western slope of the Rocky Mountains to the eastern slope to provide more irrigation to Arkansas River Valley farmers in Colorado.

"One of the main purposes of the bill," said Williams, "is to bring additional water to some 280,000 acres of agricultural crop-producing land at a time when farmers are producing more crops than we know how to use or take care of." Williams, frankly puzzled, asks: "Why pay $236 an acre to bring land into production only to have another government agency pay $40 per acre to take the same land out of production?"

REVEREND FLOYD L. YOKERS, St. John's Lutheran Church, American Falls, Idaho: *"I have been reading* HUMAN EVENTS *with great interest. It has enabled me to write intelligently on state and federal matters to our senators and representatives and other government officials."*

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Food Stamp Program: Missouri Republican Representative Durward G. Hall's exposure of a plan by the Department of Agriculture to establish 25 out of 26 pilot food stamp programs in Democratic congressional districts for the purpose of electing Democrats in the upcoming elections hasn't deterred the brazenness of officials of Orville Freeman's bailiwick.

Immediately after Hall's disclosures (see last week's HUMAN EVENTS), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service, Sylvester Smith, indirectly attacked Hall by piously preaching he hoped the food stamp program "will not be threatened by efforts to make it a partisan political issue."

Yet the clincher to show that the program, designed to aid the needy, had been turned into a partisan issue by the Administration, and not by Hall, was provided by Howard P. Davis, director of the Food Distribution Division of the Department of Agriculture. Davis, while claiming the Department paid no attention to the political make-up of a particular district, admitted that the final selection as to who would get the program was made, not by the Department, but by the White House itself.

Nor did the Hall disclosure prevent Smith from announcing that "the Department of Agriculture is looking toward the eventual extension of food stamps to the entire country." What arouses Hall about this is that a January 3, 1957, Department analysis says such a plan might well cost $2.5 billion annually.

Hall points out, moreover, that the Food Stamp Program enacted by Congress in 1959 actually expired on January 31, 1962, and that the Administration's only authority for the present program is "a vague authorization granted during the depression."

Outlawing Strikes: When many papers on August 17 reported a demand for outlawing strikes from a former Governor of the Federal Reserve Board, few readers recalled that this individual was not an old mossback survivor of the pre-New Deal days, but one of the leading supporters of the Keynesian school of economics and a leading influence on President Franklin D. Roosevelt's "spend us into prosperity" philosophy. He is Marriner S. Eccles, from 1936 to 1951 a member of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and from 1936 to 1948 Board Chairman.

In fact, some contemporaries of Eccles claim that he was the man—not Harry "The Hop" Hopkins—who first converted FDR to the tax-and-tax, spend-and-spend, elect-and-elect philosophy. However that may be, it is a fact that he did hold, during the Roosevelt years, that government spending could act as a "compensatory factor," stimulating business, raising wages and restoring purchasing power, regardless of deficits.

Later on, Eccles' education advanced and, under Truman, he rebelled against the Fair Deal inflationary policies, with the result that Truman demoted him from Board Chairman to plain Board member.

Today, this former New Dealer has come full circle. Testifying before a congressional committee on August 15, Eccles not only voiced the demand to outlaw strikes, but also said "the real monopoly today" is organized labor, and wage increases must be curbed. He asserted that the economy is in trouble because of overseas spending and because of "excessive increases of wages and fringe benefits of organized labor." These, he said, "have tended to price American goods and services out of the world markets and the domestic markets as well."

West New Guinea: Senator Karl Mundt (R.-S.D.) has charged that the Kennedy Administration, in taking the lead which forced the Dutch to hand West New Guinea over to Indonesia, has "created a Cuba for Australia."

Sukarno's hostile, pro-Communist country, said Mundt, stands just north of Australia, "the firmest base the United States can use in the Pacific." The Senator curtly reminded the Administration that "7,000 Americans" died in West New Guinea in World War II to prevent that area from falling into the clutches of a power unfriendly to the West.

"We have allowed our island defense lines, running from Japan through Okinawa, the Philippines and New Guinea to Australia, to be pierced," warned Mundt. Military men recall that General Douglas MacArthur has always felt this chain of defense is vital to free world security.

Observers here blame much of the policy on Attorney General Robert F. Kennedy, who traveled through Indonesia earlier this year. Kennedy reportedly told the State Department the US shouldn't put itself in the position of backing Dutch "colonialism." Bobby says as much in his new book, *Just Friends and Brave Enemies.*

Senator Thomas J. Dodd (D.-Conn.), however, points out that the Republic of Indonesia, in signing the charter by which it became independent, specifically excluded West New Guinea from Indonesian rule.

Published weekly at 410 First Street, S. E., Washington 3, D. C. **TRIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS:** 5 weeks $1. Yearly rate $12.50; 6 months $6.50; 3 months $3.50. Second-class postage paid at Washington, D. C.

Bound Volume indexed: First six months, 1962, $15. Second six months, 1961, $15. First six months, 1961, $15. 1960 and 1956 Bound Volumes, $20. Dateline Washington: The Best of HUMAN EVENTS, 1955 — 1960 $15.

HUMAN EVENTS *cannot be responsible for the return of* **unsolicited manuscripts.**

WHEN WRITING ABOUT YOUR SUBSCRIPTION—an address change, a renewal, a question, a complaint— SEND THE ADDRESS TAKEN FROM YOUR WEEKLY HUMAN EVENTS ENVELOPE—our girls and our machines will thank you, and you will be sure of getting the desired results. Allow THREE WEEKS for address changes. Use zone numbers.

Frank C. Hanighen, *Editor and Publisher* James L. Wick, *Executive Publisher*

Assistant Publishers: W. B. Hicks, Jr. Kenneth W. Ingwalson

Assistant Editors: John R. Moreau Svend Petersen
Allan H. Ryskind Neil Phelps-Munson
Thomas S. Winter Henry Blair Sherrill A. Backer

Contributing Editors: Frank Chodorov Edna Lonigan

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Section Two
September 1, 1962

HUMAN EVENTS

Your Weekly Washington Report

410 First Street, S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

Extracts from 12,000 Letters

Recently we invited our subscribers to vote on "The Four Vital Questions" affecting the future of HUMAN EVENTS. Besides returning the ballots, which many sent without comment, 12,000 persons sat down and wrote letters two, three and four pages in length. The letters are still coming in. The ballots will be tabulated and reports sent to each person who cast a ballot. (If you want such a report, you can still cast your ballot entitling you to the "Report of the Balloting." That report will be mailed in five or six weeks.) In the meantime, we will publish extracts from the mail.

"Use Smaller Type"

NORWICH, CONN.—I would suggest the use of smaller type in order to get in more reading content.

—*Elmer F. Farnham.*

"Do Not Stop Printing HUMAN EVENTS"

REDONDO BEACH, CALIF.—Do whatever you believe to be necessary, but whatever you do, do not stop printing HUMAN EVENTS.

—*Brigadier General R. W. Coane (Ret.)*

"I Am a Widow—Here Is a Small Check"

McCALL, IDAHO—In one form or another keep up your good work! I can't afford HUMAN EVENTS but at this crucial time I can't afford to do without it! So by hook or crook I aim to have it! I feel another postal rate increase is an attempt to destroy what is left of a free press. It must not be. Carry on. I am a widow, 58 years young, doing all I can to help fight subversion and educate friends and neighbors. HUMAN EVENTS is a big help. Here is a small check. Only wish it could be much greater. May God bless and guide you to move wisely.

—*Mrs. Wanda E. Graham.*

Reader Wants Reprints Continued

WHEATON, MD.—I hope that the current practice of making reprints available will still prevail. I have only taken advantage of this service once in the few months that I have been a subscriber, but knowing that the reprints are available is reassuring.

—*David Lewis, D.D.S., Ll. B.*

For "Magazine-Style" HUMAN EVENTS

BAKERSFIELD, CALIF.—The first solution appears to be the best since it will not involve "cutting back" and has a chance of reaching a greater audience.

—*D. B. Flynn.*

"I'd Just As Soon Pay $5 or $10 More"

GLENDALE, CALIF.—I haven't been a subscriber very long, but I wouldn't miss a single issue. Whatever you think is best is fine with me. I'd just as soon pay $5 or $10 more a year. Good luck!

—*Mr. and Mrs. Roy N. Gibbs.*

"I Would Welcome the Change to Magazine-Style"

SANTA ANA, CALIF.—As one who saves HUMAN EVENTS for reference in documentation in writing letters to congressmen, newspaper and magazine editors, I would welcome the change to magazine style. It would make the task of looking up sources of information much easier.

Mrs. Hugh T. Walker.

"HELP YOURSELF"

PINSON, ALA.—The answer is plain. BE CONSERVATIVE. STAND ON YOUR OWN FEET HELP YOURSELF.

—*L. R. Jones.*

The "Four Vital Questions" asked readers to choose from four choices:

1. Changing the HUMAN EVENTS format to magazine-style, enabling it to carry advertising and to be sold on newsstands. (Reprints of popular articles would still be available, printed exactly like present-sized reprints.)
2. The present 16 to 20 pages of HUMAN EVENTS, published in the present format but increasing subscription price sufficiently for HUMAN EVENTS to become self-sustaining.
3. Continuing 16 to 20 pages in the present format at the present price, making up the deficit with an annual drive for $150,000 to $200,000.

4a. Cut back to eight pages.

4b. Print only the four-page news section. Omit the rest.

"Enclosed Is $1 As Our Part"

BORGER, TEXAS—We feel HUMAN EVENTS as an articulate spokesman for the conservative cause should be run on a businesslike basis without relying on charitable contributions. We wouldn't like to see the number of pages decreased so we have marked Nos. 1 and 2 on the reverse side. We leave it to your discretion as to which of our choice of solutions will provide the answer. Enclosed is a dollar as our part in the possible subscription boost. You provide a great deal of information and people should be willing to pay for it.

—*Harry L. Harrity.*

Don't Let Advertising "Dictate Policy"

DALLAS, TEXAS—The importance of HUMAN EVENTS is what is said and not the form in which it is printed. Some advertising would be good (I think) so we could learn which side some of America's business concerns support. Just don't get HUMAN EVENTS in a "box" where advertising can dictate policy.

—*Byron H. Harvey.*

"Too Good to Beg"

SIMI, CALIF.—HUMAN EVENTS is a newsletter advocating (among other things) the American free enterprise system of economics. I want to see you practice what you preach; and, therefore, No. 1 is the only reasonable, logical solution to your problem. To have HUMAN EVENTS institute a fund-raising drive every year is unthinkable. It would be comparable to Kennedy asking Congress every year to raise the debt limit. No, Sirs, you must go out, get advertising, increase your circulation, and make a profit. Your newsletter is too good to beg for charity.

—*Frederic W. C. Ledebocr.*

In 5 Years: 1 Million Subscribers

HOPKINS, MINN.—Magazine-style and newsstand sales could jump you to 500,000 in the next year. One million in five years (if we survive). The people *even in Minnesota* are waking up!!!!!

—*Sheldon Emery.*

Wants Supplement, Too

SIOUX CITY, IOWA—I suggest that in addition to the magazine-style publication you print a supplementary four-page news section for the busy executive.

—*O. Ostelie*

"Increased Circulation at No Increase in Price

EVANSVILLE, INDIANA—Please keep up the good work! To increase your coverage should be a prime objective. I would certainly say that an *increased circulation at no increase in price* would be most desirable! In any event HUMAN EVENTS must continue.

—*Bernard L. Zimmer.*

"Sorry That I Cannot Give More"

ST. LOUIS, MO.—I am enclosing my check for $10 for the HUMAN EVENTS Sustaining Fund. I am sorry that I cannot give more but hope it will help as we need the news which HUMAN EVENTS brings us each week.

—*Miss Lily K. Heidemann.*

Newsstands: "Most Logical Way"

GLENDALE, CALIF.—I want to see HUMAN EVENTS reach as many Americans as possible and the newsstand seems to be the most logical way. Many, who do not seem to be able to subscribe on a yearly basis, would be able to purchase one copy at a time. This would especially apply to students and young married couples. Once anyone has become familiar with HUMAN EVENTS he will not be willing to do without it. In my case I have given up *Life* and *Time* magazines in order to receive HUMAN EVENTS. I would give up more if necessary. You are doing a wonderful service to our country and I am doing all I can to see that my subscription is handed on and on.

—*W. J. Ouderkirk.*

"Thank You! Thank You!"

FLUSHING, N. Y.—Thank you! Thank you for HUMAN EVENTS. I have sent trial subscriptions to 12 friends, across country. You'll work out your financial problem. We'll all help. God bless you.

—*Mrs. L. Sullivan.*

No More "Dingdonging"

TUCSON, ARIZ.—The first alternative is the only feasible one. It will enable you to follow in the footsteps of *U.S. News & World Report* which once was a private service. Raising prices will sharply limit circulation and keep you from reaching the very people who need you most. It will defeat your purpose. Dingdonging for donations will presently reach a point of diminishing returns—less returns less frequently. The influence of the pinkos like *The New Republic* was largely due to being widely quoted by Left-Wingers working for the press. Who gets himself quoted has it made. If your publication consultants do not agree with the above, better try another one.

—*Ellington Curtis.*

COPYRIGHT 1962

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Dodd on Foreign Policy

By ALLAN H. RYSKIND

SENATOR THOMAS J. DODD (D.-Conn.) is one of the most vigorous and effective anti-Communist spokesmen in the United States Congress. Since his appointment to the Senate Judiciary Committee's Internal Security Subcommittee, Senator Dodd has spearheaded the major subcommittee investigations tearing aside the veil of concealed Communist activity.

Largely as a result of his activities, the subcommittee has exposed Red infiltration of the nuclear test-ban movement in this country; it has defrocked the seemingly sainted Fair Play for Cuba Committee; and it has focused on the danger of increased Western trade with the Soviet bloc.

DODD

Yet the Senator's most brilliant work has unquestionably been in the field of foreign policy. His major speeches in this area now brought together in a book, *Freedom and Foreign Policy**(excerpts right), demonstrate why he is respected at home, and why our allies abroad sometimes refer to him as the "voice of freedom."

When reading this collection of speeches, one is impressed with the Senator's insight. He warned against our nuclear test-ban policy; he warned against the policy steps we took in Laos, as he now warns against our policy of aiding President Sukarno of Indonesia. Since the Senator has been so right for so long, it could hurt no one, not even the editors of the New York *Times* (who have largely ignored his speeches), to digest a few pearls of this book's genuine wisdom.

*Published and distributed by The Bookmailer, Inc., Box 10, New York 16, New York. ($4.00 for the flexible binding; $5.50 for the hard binding.)

A Time for What, JFK?

By Representative H. R. Gross (R.-Iowa)

Some idea of the lengths to which a petulant President and his cohorts will go in an effort to punish those who oppose him can be found in a little-publicized story involving the Marine Corps and four members of Congress.

GROSS

In July, President Kennedy accepted an invitation to be guest of honor at a special Marine review. In accordance with protocol, Marine Corps headquarters submitted to the White House a list of former Marines now serving in Congress to whom the Corps extends invitations on such occasions.

When the list was returned to the Marine Corps, the names of four members (two Republicans and two Democrats) who had voted against many Administration proposals had been penciled out.

Perhaps those admirers of the President who on occasion have accused me of being "petty" will wonder if this is the same John F. Kennedy who once said: "This is a time for greatness!"

Excerpts from Dodd's Book

ON FOREIGN AID: " . . . we should no longer be in the preposterous position of giving billions of dollars to countries like Yugoslavia and Indonesia and Ghana, which vote with the Soviet bloc on virtually every issue in the United Nations, while we grant aid on a far more niggardly basis to staunch allies like the Philippines and Thailand."

ON CUBA: "We can no longer tolerate a situation in which a quisling totalitarian regime, directed at the subversion of the entire Western Hemisphere, is able to maintain its hold over the Cuban people because of the massive quantities of arms placed in its hands by the Kremlin.

"The time is long past due for a firm announcement that we will tolerate no further shipments of Soviet arms to the Western Hemisphere. I believe we should advise both Mr. Khrushchev and Mr. Castro that we will tolerate no Soviet military aircraft in Caribbean skies."

ON THE UN: "If the Katanga intervention [by the UN] is accepted as a precedent, it will sanctify the abdication of our foreign policy to the United Nations; it will open the way to UN intervention in the internal affairs of member nations; and it may commit us to supporting a whole series of wars that are favored by the Afro-Asian bloc and the Soviets."

IS CHEDDI JAGAN A RED? " . . . must one have a man's Communist party membership card . . . before describing a man as a Communist? . . . If an animal looks like a duck, walks like a duck, and lives habitually with ducks, I believe that every rational person would be prepared to agree that the animal in question is a duck.

"Cheddi Jagan talks like a Communist. . . . "

ON BERLIN: " . . . it is essential that we do everything in our power to convince . . . Khrushchev that we mean it when we say that we are prepared to fight over Berlin, to convince him that we have the capacity for decisions and the capacity for action, if need be.

"The flabbiness of our protest over the sealing off of East Berlin, the serious delay in issuing it, the total avoidance of action, will have precisely the contrary effect."

ON INDONESIA: "The fact is that Indonesia's strident claims to West New Guinea are primarily due to the agitation of the Indonesian Communist party, the largest Communist party outside the Soviet bloc, and of the international Communist apparatus."

FOR VICTORY IN ASIA: "Why should we not encourage the spirit of resistance behind the Bamboo Curtain by creating diversions, and by sabotage and by ambushes and by undermining the Communist economy in every possible way?

"Let there be no mistake: guerrilla war *is* war and, like every other war, it must be carried to the enemy."

WHAT'S WRONG WITH THE WHITE HOUSE?: "The President can't get the country moving so he decides to move to the country. He has a place in Virginia and a summer home at Hyannisport but has to rent a house from singer Morton Downey since his own property is either subleased or taken over by relatives. And the papers report Morton Downey now wants his place back. With such a housing shortage, some even suggest that the President take up residence in the White House here in Washington since it is a rather cool summer." (Senator Karl E. Mundt, South Dakota Republican)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

RACE *of the Week*

By WILLIAM SCHULZ

Ohio's 14th District

Ayres vs. Ocasek

IT WAS LITTLE MORE than two years ago that Teamsters President James Riddle Hoffa announced plans to purge 56 members of Congress for "reactionary, anti-labor" voting records. The campaign boomeranged. All but one were re-elected.

Sadder but wiser, Jimmy Hoffa has altered his plans. Again there is a purge list. But its makeup is top secret. One name known to be on that list is Ohio Representative William Ayres, whom Hoffa tried unsuccessfully to dump in 1960. A Teamsters analysis prior to that election said:

"It is obvious that organized labor is not conducting an effective political campaign. In Ayres' district, which is heavily populated wth United Rubber Workers, organized labor represents 90 per cent of the total population. Of the voting population, organized labor represents an even greater percentage.

"However, note that out of the total 329,000 eligible to vote, only 244,830 are registered to vote. And of this total number registered, only 190,965 actually voted on election day (1958)."

The Teamsters are now engaged in a feverish campaign to register new voters in another effort to defeat Ayres, who has six times won House election from the heavily-industrialized Akron area.

The problems they face are explained in a lengthy, detailed analysis of Ayres' district, compiled for National COPE by pollster Lou Harris.

Harris, whose findings helped guide John Kennedy to the White House, is the Administration's favorite pulse-taker. His analysis of the 14th District, at a cost of $3,800, is not encouraging for Big Labor. Concludes Harris:

"If the voter goes to the polls weighing in his mind, 'Has Ayres done a good job?' he will be re-elected by an overwhelming majority."

AYRES

Approximately three out of every four voters were said to think Ayres' record a good one.

"The only chance of defeating Ayres is to catch him on one or two issues that he is weak on and then exploit his weakness," advised Harris.

One of those "weaknesses" is said to be Medicare. The Ohio Congressman is severely roasted by his Democratic opponent, State Senator Oliver Ocasek, for opposition to the Administration's King-Anderson proposal. Replies Ayres:

"To charge that I am against old people is absurd. I feel strongly that private insurance companies can do the job far better—and more economically—than several thousand bureaucrats stationed in Washington."

ANOTHER POSSIBLE "WEAKNESS" is Ayres' opposition to HR 3745, a bill that would sharply step up pensions to veterans of World War I. Despite opposition of the Veterans of Foreign Wars and the American Legion, a spirited campaign is under way to win House approval of the bill.

Representative Ayres, ranking Republican on the Veterans Affairs Committee, sees the $11-billion price tag as something the nation cannot afford. He has resisted incredible pressure to sign a discharge petition that would bring the bill to the floor.

Create an Army of CONSERVATIVE Political Activists
With $1 Trial Subscriptions

One of our dedicated subscribers says to every civic-minded person he meets: "By the way, have you ever heard of HUMAN EVENTS?"

If the answer is no, he continues: "Man, you haven't lived! Give me a dollar. I'll send it in for a five week subscription. At the end of five weeks if you don't renew, I'll give you TWO dollars. That's fair, isn't it? Give me your dollar."

Create an army of conservative political activists in your community or your Congressional district by persuading action-minded men and women to read HUMAN EVENTS.

Ordered by:

Name . . . . . .

Address . . . . . .

City . . . . . Zone . . State . . . . . .

☐ Payment Enclosed ☐ Bill Me

Send Trial Subscriptions For $1 Each to:

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

(MORE COUPONS ON REVERSE SIDE)

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

'Modern Finance Is Wonderful'

By FRANK HOLEMAN
From the New York *Daily News*

The President will do almost anything to improve the government's financial position except cut down spending, it appears.

This reminds me of a friend who went to the bank one Monday morning to see our favorite small loan man.

"What do you need the money for?" asked the banker. "To cover the rubber checks I wrote over the weekend in Mexico City," the reporter replied frankly. Amazing to say, he got the loan. Modern finance is wonderful.

Send Trial Subscriptions to:

(More Coupons on Other Side)

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

Send gift card listing my name as: . . . . . .
. . . . . .

Herbert Hoover's America



This representative government, with its 186 years of life, has lasted longer than any other republic in history.

If you look about, you will see steeples of tens of thousands of places of worship. Each week a hundred million people come to reaffirm their faith.

If you will look, you will find that the Bill of Rights is an enforced law of the land; that the dignity of man and equality of opportunity more nearly survive in this land than in any other on earth.

If you look, you will also find that from our educational system there comes every year a host of stimulated minds. They bring new scientific discoveries, new inventions and new ideas. It is true that they revolutionize our daily lives. But we can steadily adjust ourselves and our government to them without the assistance of Karl Marx.

I could go on and on reciting the mighty forces in American life which assure its progress and its durability.

As a boy of 10, I was taken from this village to the Far West 78 years ago. My only material assets were two dimes in my pocket, the suit of clothes I wore. I had some extra underpinnings provided by loving aunts.

But I carried from here something more precious.

I had a certificate of the fourth and fifth grade of higher learning.

I had a stern grounding of religious faith.

I carried with me recollections of a joyous childhood, where the winter snows and the growing crops of Iowa were an especial provision for kids.

And I carried with me family disciplines of hard work. That included picking potato bugs at 10 cents a hundred. Incidentally, that money was used for the serious purpose of buying firecrackers to applaud the founding fathers on each Fourth of July.

. . . May I say to the boys and girls of America that the doors of opportunity are still open to you. Today the durability of freedom is more secure in America than in any other place in the world.

From former President Hoover's speech at the dedication of the Hoover Presidential Library, August 10. 1962, at West Branch, Iowa.

Reprints of this section are available: Single copy 20 cents; 10 for $1; 25 for $2; 100 for $5; 500 for $20; 1,000 for $30. Order by section number and date of issue.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Section Three
September 1, 1962

HUMAN EVENTS
Your Weekly Washington Report

410 First Street S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

Union Power Must Be Curbed

Goldwater Says Congress Should Act to Halt Abuses

By SENATOR BARRY GOLDWATER (R.-Ariz.)

IN MY UNDERSTANDING of the Constitution, the intent written into it by our Founding Fathers was the prohibition against the gathering of power in any one branch of our tri-partite system of government or any segment of our society. You gentlemen as lawyers are constantly aware of the dangers involved in such concentration and a good deal of your activities are directed against it.

GOLDWATER

We are witnessing in our national government today, and we have been for nearly three decades, the movement of more and more power away from the people and the states into the hands of the bureaucrats in Washington. And we have witnessed how this power can be used to benefit one segment of the economy, giving it monopolistic power.

I refer specifically to the concentration of power in the hands of labor leaders of today. This power came about as the result of Federal legislation. Its correction can only come through congressional action which would remove those portions of the Labor-Management Act which confer a privileged status on labor unions. This exempt position is not related to the unions' bargaining power in legitimate labor-management disputes.

I would suggest the tremendous concentration of potential power in the hands of labor is contrary to the public interest, and in "the public" I include the working union members.

LABOR UNIONS ENJOY many special privileges and immunities under Federal law which are creative of power. By far the most important of these is the exclusive right to represent all the employes in the unit for purposes of collective bargaining, even if the union has been selected as bargaining agent by only a narrow majority, which in many circumstances under our existing law, in fact, constitutes only a minority.

Under the law, those employes who do not wish to join, as well as those whom the union for whatever reason excludes from membership, can neither bargain for themselves nor select any person or agency other than the union so designated to bargain for them. They are, in reality, the involuntary principals of agents imposed upon them by law.

In granting unions this right, the government, in effect, has bestowed upon them the power of government itself.

Although this provision of law has a certain usefulness in the area of collective bargaining, it results in the most serious injustice to those employes who wish to join the union but are excluded by the union itself. They have no voice in helping to determine the union's bargaining demands and policies, are not permitted to do their own bargaining, and they are compelled to accept and work under the terms and conditions of the agreements between the union and the employer, even if they find such terms and conditions highly unsatisfactory.

Moreover, in certain industries there is a widespread practice whereby employers recruit their labor force through the local unions in the particular area. This is particularly true in those industries where the most highly-skilled, and consequently the most highly-paid, employes are needed to perform the work. It is precisely in these industries where union membership exclusionary policies are most widely and persistently applied.

As a result, untold numbers of completely-qualified workers, who for one reason or another are denied admission to union membership, are excluded not only from many jobs, but particularly from the most highly-paid jobs as well.

THERE IS an unconscious tendency on the part of many to regard labor-management relations as the exclusive concern of the parties directly involved —*i.e.*, of the union and employer.

Where a settlement is reached as the result of collective bargaining and without the intervention of a work-stoppage resulting from either a strike or a lockout, it is safe to say, almost without exception, that in reaching the settlement none of the negotiating parties paid the slightest attention to its future impact on the economy of the nation or its effect on the public interest.

The public is the overlooked body in most of the legislation written in the Congress of late, particularly in the field of labor-management relations. There are protections written into the labor-management law, and while they are not adequate, they exist; but the tendency today is to ignore them and by this action to further ignore the important role the public plays in any labor-management dispute. The key to these actions is not just the seeking of the more power to be used from a central position, but the actual adoption of this power in an *ad hoc* manner or, to put it more plainly, working around existing laws.

To understand this we must review briefly the recent comments of Archibald Cox, the solicitor general of the United States and a key labor adviser to the President. He stated that, under present conditions, the public consequences of decisions made by labor and management are so wide and serious that "the government must have the opportunity to bring those consequences to bear in the making of the decision."

Cox went on to spell out the Administration's contention that "the public is entitled to a voice" in labor-management decisions. Translated, this means that the Federal government should take an ever-increasing role in the settlement of labor-management problems. It means that we can look forward to more, not less, government encroachment in the collective bargaining process.

From Senator Goldwater's address before the Assembly Session of the American Bar Association, August 8, in San Francisco, California.

COPYRIGHT 1962

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

This becomes understandable when you consider the three recent examples of the extra-legal approach to labor matters.

FIRST, of course, we have the government acting as a full third party in the recent steel negotiations. Following the signing of the government-induced contract in that industry, the President thought his work was done. But when the steel companies, acting upon the mistaken belief that they were free to manage their own affairs, raised the prices, the President immediately reasserted the government's role. The results of that episode in government-business relations are too well-known to require comment. I mention it merely to illustrate one point: that the entire chain of events took place without reference to the Taft-Hartley Act or any other law—with the notable exception of the anti-trust laws, which were trotted out as part of the attack on the steel companies.

SECOND, we have the prolonged dispute in the airlines industry concerning the flight engineers. In this, the President and Secretary of Labor Goldberg have seen fit to operate outside the restrictions of the applicable law, the Railway Labor Act, on a number of occasions. Once again, the use of *ad hoc* boards became a favorite device of the Administration.

FINALLY, in an effort to stave off a strike in the vital aerospace industry, the President and his Secretary of Labor came up with what they called a "board of distinguished citizens" and a 60-day postponement of the walkout on the part of the unions. Once again, the solution was completely *ad hoc* and once again the established procedures found in the law—in this case the Taft-Hartley Act—were completely ignored. It is also evident that this Administration is not disposed to request new labor legislation; a number of bills have been offered in the Congress to deal with problems created by work stoppages in vital industries.

Senator Wayne Morse, for example, recently introduced a bill to amend the Taft-Hartley Act's national emergency strike provisions. This proposal would compel workers to stay on the job in national emergency situations and require compulsory arbitration of the disputes involved. Senator John McClellan, with other Senators, has proposed legislation which would "prohibit strikes by employes employed in certain strategic defense facilities." This bill also requires compulsory arbitration. In addition, Senator Mike Monroney and another group of Senators want to amend the Railway Labor Act as it pertains to the national airlines and introduce the element of compulsion to take care of the engineers' strike against two airlines.

SIGNIFICANTLY ENOUGH, all of these proposed changes in existing labor laws involve important elements of coercion and compulsion. They seem definitely to be in keeping with the thinking of those who believe that only by action from Washington can any of our problems, social, economic, political, or labor, be solved.

In this connection, it behooves us to examine the nature of the continually-recurring labor crises in the United States. Even a cursory examination will reveal one obvious truth: that labor unions have access to far too much power for the good of the economy or the health of the nation.

Now it surprises no one to realize the immense size and the great economic strength possessed by American unions today. It is worth noting, however, that big labor did not gain its present vital position in our society wholly, or even primarily, through its own efforts.

The Federal government, that ever-present arbiter, policeman and entrepreneur of American life, has made organized labor what it is today.

Just to give you an idea of the preferred position held by today's union in the economic scheme of things, I have compiled a list of special privilege, immunities and rights and powers enjoyed by labor unions under the Federal law. And let me emphasize at the outset that most of these special considerations may have been justified when they were initially given to the labor movement because of their weakness and lack of size. However, possible justification from an historical standpoint certainly doesn't justify their continued existence in today's era of monopolistic union power.

The list I refer to is as follows:

1. Almost total immunity under the anti-trust laws.
2. Immunity from taxation.
3. Immunity from injunctions by Federal courts.
4. Authority to use union funds for purposes not related to collective bargaining even where union membership is compulsory.
5. Power to compel workers to join the union as a condition of continued employment.
6. The right of a union selected by a majority of the workers to bargain for all employes. This includes the right to bargain for those who were compelled to join the union as well as those who can be arbitrarily denied membership.
7. Power to compel the employer to bargain exclusively with the majority union.
8. Absolute authority to deny union membership to workers employed in the bargaining unit, on any grounds or for no reason at all.
9. The right, in some situations, to invade the privacy of workers, even against their wishes. This deprives them of a legal right enjoyed by all other members of society.
10. The right, in some situations, to compel employers to make available for union use the private property of the employer.
11. The right to compel the employer to provide protection against any physical violence on the part of workers who resist invasion of their privacy.
12. Unions are immune from the payment of damages for personal and property injuries inflicted on employers or others by union members engaged in activities, such as strikes or picketing. And this stands even in situations where such activities have been officially authorized and directed by the union.
13. The right to strike for objectives wholly unrelated to any proper subject of collective bargaining. This is in contrast to the severely limited right of an employer to engage in a lock-out.
14. The right, in some situations, to examine an employer's books and records—including those containing such confidential data as costs, profits and prices.
15. And, finally, the almost complete immunity of unions from any liability, penalty or restriction under state law under the doctrine of Federal pre-emption.

NOW, I'M SURE you realize that no other private organization, institution or individual in the United States is the beneficiary of such a powerful combination of immunities, benefits, privileges, rights and powers —under either state or Federal law.

And in presenting this list I am not suggesting that all of these special grants, without exception, should be removed. I do it merely to suggest that the entire question of unlimited powers for labor unions should be reviewed carefully by the Congress.

I am firm in my belief that the repeal or limitation of some of these privileges and immunities is required to restore a more reasonable and workable balance in the nation's labor-management relations. In this way, and only in this way, can we prepare the way for the conduct of free and fair collective bargaining between management and labor without government interference.

It is true that we would still have interruptions in production, but they would be substantially reduced in number. The ones we would have would represent the inevitable price a just society must be willing to pay in order to preserve its freedom.

Reprints of this article are available: single copy, 15 cents; 15 for $1; 35 for $2; 125 for $5; 300 for $10; 1,000 for $20. Order by section number and date of issue.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Section Four
September 1, 1962

HUMAN EVENTS
Your Weekly Washington Report

410 First Street, S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

TIMIDITY? STUPIDITY? OR JUST PLAIN IGNORANCE?

By FRED G. CLARK

"Republicans, 'the party of business'—and Eisenhower said it. Party leaders wish he hadn't."

—*Kiplinger Washington Letter,* July 14, 1962

THOSE 14 WORDS expose the deplorable deficiency in our schools more clearly than all the critical treatises issued in the past decade. They also point up the desperate need for mass adult education in the economic facts of life.

They not only incriminate the social sciences for destructive boondoggling; they also indict the American business community for its failure to explain the functions and social significance of business to the victims of the vacuum in our schools.

It is this mass ignorance that permits political connivers to spread anti-private enterprise propaganda in order to draw votes from an uninformed and unsuspecting public.

It is the failure of business to properly enlighten the people in their plants and plant communities that permits socio-economic planners to distort —or discard as "myth"—the truth that business is not only the backbone of our economic body, but supplies the flesh and life blood as well.

It is the incentive to profit from business, *whatever the occupation,* that releases the extraordinary energy of millions of individuals, who want to become better off, that provides the motive power for production of the goods and services which supply all human needs and wants.

Being against business is being against the public welfare.

• If it weren't for business, where would the money come from to pay the cost of government (including the salaries of anti-business Presidential advisers)?

• If it weren't for business, how would the United States have accumulated the huge stock of tools which makes it the most productive nation in the world, and, therefore, the most powerful?

• If it weren't for business, where would all the money come from for education, exploration, research; all the money for armies, navies, the air force and hundreds of things needed for national protection, if not survival?

FRED G. CLARK Fabian Bachrach

• If it weren't for business, how could there be a single dollar for charity at home or abroad, to say nothing of the multi-billions we give away in foreign aid?

• If it weren't for American free enterprise, at what level would our standard of living be?

PONDERING THE OBVIOUS answers to these questions will reveal either the stupidity or ignorance—or both—of the Republican leaders who regret that former President Eisenhower linked the party to the one institution without which every family in America would be living at the economic level of a backward country.

It will also focus the spotlight on the educational job that must be done by businessmen.

Kiplinger goes on to confirm the fact that businessmen have never adequately demonstrated to the public just what business does for humanity.

It is to help business do that job that The American Economic Foundation is proposing a Hall of Free Enterprise at the New York World's Fair (1964-65) where the basic economic facts of production and exchange of all goods and services can be dramatically demonstrated for all the world to see; and the contrast in results under freedom with those under dictatorship.

Fred G. Clark is Chairman of the Board of Trustees of The American Economic Foundation, 51 East 42nd Street, New York 17, New York.

COPYRIGHT 1962

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

RALPH F. BEERMANN
3D DISTRICT, NEBRASKA

HOME:
DAKOTA CITY, NEBRASKA

1232 NEW HOUSE OFFICE BLDG.

COMMITTEE ON
AGRICULTURE

Congress of the United States
House of Representatives
Washington, D. C.

Kenneth W. Ingwalson, Assistant Publisher
HUMAN EVENTS
410 First Street, S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

June 29, 1962

Dear Kenney:

You may remember our conversation of the July 14-15, 1961 HUMAN EVENTS Conference at the Mayflower. Then I told you I had practically decided to withdraw from the race for Congress.

Here was the situation: As a result of reapportionment, Nebraska stood to lose one seat in Congress. To win this new District seat I would have to defeat a four-term Congressman bearing a name distinguished in Nebraska political history. The past voting record seemed favorable to him. In addition, I had little enthusiasm for a contest in which two good Republican representatives might only destroy one or the other.

There were other considerations: I had a 100 per cent conservative voting record, and there was a distinct probability that the new First District might not welcome 100 per cent conservative representation. Furthermore, I was apprehensive of any violent contest for the Republican nomination. The reason why was that any all out campaign could become so intense both candidates might exhaust themselves and party supporters. That could result in a comparatively easy fall campaign for a New Frontiersman.

However, at the height of this indecision, I was on the program of the July Conference. After my part of the session was over, I circulated among the 500 or so persons that had come from all sections of the United States to attend. I had long talks with many of them and became convinced these were genuine conservatives -- persons who had made great sacrifices for the cause. Each understood how big the stakes were; each was working for a cause in which he believed. They pointed out that I must make the race to let the people in the new First District have a clear cut choice.

After that I went back home and talked to hundreds of my constituents. They, too, insisted that I run and let the voters decide whether or not conservatism was wanted.It was then I concluded that it was my duty to enter the contest and make the best campaign possible. In mid-December, as you know, I made the decision to run. My subsequent primary victory proved that 100 per cent conservatism is an attractive Nebraska commodity.

I now must face a Democrat in the November election. I would surmise that a well staffed, financed force for liberalism is determined to defeat me. This must be so since it cannot allow my victory, a reassurance for the conservative effort, to go unchallenged So it would seem expedient that the liberals will extend themselves.

However, with the active help of thousands of volunteers who believe in honest and frugal government, I feel we conservatives will win.

Sincerely,

Ralph F. Beermann

RALPH F. BEERMANN
U. S. Representative

RFB:lml

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

HUMAN EVENTS

410 First Street, S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

YOUR POLITICAL AMMUNITION



The FACTS and IDEAS that take deadly aim on New Frontier programs

These Supplements give you a chance to PAMPHLETEER—the device used in the America of colonial and pioneer times to spread political ideas. Today, because of the pro-liberal bias of the political columns published in many newspapers, the news reports given over TV and radio, and the overwhelming dominance of the White House and government agencies as sources of news and propaganda, the "other side of the news" is seldom heard or read. Therefore, YOU must become a pamphleteer. These Supplements furnish you with ammunition.

Each Supplement is 16 pages, with pages just twice the size of a HUMAN EVENTS page. Each Supplement contains approximately 20,000 words and is an ARSENAL OF FACTS.

Volume XIX, No. 35

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

5 HUMAN EVENTS

Each one an arsenal of facts . . . Ammunition designed for POLITICAL ACTION . . .


SPECIAL ISSUE — Human Events

The Case Against SOCIALIZED MEDICINE

ARTICLES IN THIS ISSUE

Your tax dollars: where do they go?

SPECIAL ISSUE — Human Events

TAX FREEDOM DAY

RISING TAX BURDEN

ARTICLES IN THIS ISSUE

Buy them in quantities — any combination at the following prices:

25¢ for a single copy
$1 for any 5 copies
$5 for any 30 copies
$50 for any 500 copies
$80 for any 1000 copies
$70 per thousand for any 5000 or more copies

SEE COUPON PAGE 4

THE CASE AGAINST SOCIALIZED MEDICINE

Expected to sell 10,000 copies when published, "The Case Against Socialized Medicine" has now sold 961,000 copies.

This Special Supplement played a vital role in building up public sentiment against the King-Anderson Bill which resulted in its defeat 52 to 48 in the Senate composed of 64 Democrats to 36 Republicans. This political MIRACLE showed what the people back home can do when aroused.

HUMAN EVENTS readers have persuaded thousands of doctors to put copies in their reception rooms and pass out copies to patients who realize that the fight against socialized medicine is THEIR fight, too.

The Huntley-Brinkley NBC documentary reporting on the doctors' strike in Saskatchewan showed viewers the assortment of literature which influenced the people. The foremost item: the HUMAN EVENTS Medicare Supplement! Saskatchewan doctors say the Supplement played a major part in creating the majority opinion against the Socialists.

The fight to save the best medical system in the world from socialism has not been won. Only the King-Anderson Bill has been defeated. Therefore, you need to keep conservatives in Congress and elect more. This Supplement needs widespread distribution so that every voter will understand "why."

TAX FREEDOM DAY

Historians acknowledge that by tra dition, instinct and inheritance, th USA has been a frugal nation. Unti the passage in 1913 of the incom tax amendment, the Federal govern ment was limited in its capacity t tax, and consequently, in its ability to promise "free federal money" t pay for such things as local parks civic auditoriums and recreatio halls.

In 1940, Federal expenditures wer $156 per person. Ten years later they were $473. *By 1960, they hac risen to $864.*

Local or state taxation is no discussed in this issue. In the limitec space available, the spending of the 50 states and of several thousanc communities is too varied and com plex to be condensed.

On Federal spending we give you the highlights, but clarified so that they are more readily understood. They will be enough to persuade you to act. You must act soon— otherwise each year Tax Freedom Day will come a little later. Every year you will work a little longer period of time for the government and a shorter period for yourself.

The TAX FREEDOM DAY Special Supplement will help you reverse the process so you can work more days of the year for yourself and your family and fewer days for govern ment.

HUMAN EVENTS

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

SUPPLEMENTS – $1



THE TRUTH ABOUT ADA

Put the liberal candidates in your cinity on the DEFENSIVE!

Kennedy has named to high places government 33 members of DA (the extreme left-wing Americans r Democratic Action).

Those persons are NAMED in this ıpplement.

You can DEFEAT a liberal by a mple technique: Ask him whether he elieves in the ADA program which ıcludes such items as recognition of ed China, admission of Red China the UN and the like.

If he says, "Yes," get that fact to the oters. When you expose a candidate pro-ADA, you will defeat him in ost districts.

If he says, "No," ask him to prove s genuine opposition to ADA by nding a message to the White House MMEDIATELY asking for the USTER of all the ADA'ers from gh places in government starting ith JFK's No. 1 Braintruster, Arthur chlesinger, Jr.

Each child born in America has a ake in capitalism. (For their sake, OU should order and distribute as any copies of "The Truth About DA" as you can.)

A CONSERVATIVE'S GUIDE TO POLITICAL ACTION

You want to help stop the New Frontier, whose grab for power is based upon Big Spending programs offering subsidies, benefits and advantages to the ever-wanting minorities.

You know friends and neighbors who have the same unselfish patriotic motivation. You can get them to DO SOMETHING CONSTRUCTIVE.

HUMAN EVENTS Special Supplement " A Conservative's Guide to Political Action" tells you step by step what to do. This do-it-yourself manual tells what to do when you go to the headquarters of a political party or group to offer your services. It describes just what you should do in other activities in which you wish to participate.

Conservatives -- which means you and your friends -- are desperately needed. Conservatives have no manpower except volunteers. The number of DEPENDABLE volunteers is limited. The labor-liberal forces do their most effective work BETWEEN ELECTIONS when COPE does the foundation building, the card-indexing of every household, the distribution of "educational" (hate-business) literature, etc. To increase the number of ACTIVE conservatives, get copies of " A Conservative's Guide to Political Action" into the hands of as many good prospects as possible.

THE LABOR MONOPOLY

The American people do not long remain docile when their liberties are threatened. Witness the more than two-thirds Congressional majorities of EACH party that passed the Taft-Hartley law over the Truman veto. The Landrum-Griffin Act was passed by a Congress 2 to 1 Democratic. The American people are WAKING UP.

This Labor Supplement reproduces the famous Reuther letter, written in 1934 from Russia, eulogizing the Soviet system in which Victor says, "You know that Wal and I were always strong for the Soviet Union. We are ready to fight for it and its ideal." This letter was written when Stalin was exterminating millions of his people. The Supplement describes the methods of the AFL-CIO political arm, COPE, card-indexing every household to find out how each family will vote. COPE makes sure all pro-COPE voters are registered and are taken to the polls— at the same time "ignoring" anti-COPE voters. COPE's special targets in Congress are named.

This Supplement tells how COPE works to produce UPSETS in supposedly "SAFE" conservative districts. SCORES of other facts will help YOU change opinion and ACTIVATE freedom-loving Americans in your community, occupation and industry.

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

SEND SUPPLEMENTS TO LIBERALS —

Some will be converted

SEND SUPPLEMENTS TO CONSERVATIVES —

Many will become political actionists

Send for one each
or any number in any combination
of the five HUMAN EVENTS Special Supplements
at the price schedule listed below

Help get these Special Supplements into the hands of every businessman, farmer, doctor, lawyer, teacher, student, minister, precinct worker, grocer and last but not least, every housewife.

HUMAN EVENTS 410 First Street, S.E. Washington 3, D.C.

Gentlemen:
Please send me the following Special Supplements.

_____ copies, THE CASE AGAINST SOCIALIZED MEDICINE
_____ copies, TAX FREEDOM DAY
_____ copies, THE TRUTH ABOUT ADA
_____ copies, A CONSERVATIVE'S GUIDE TO POLITICAL ACTION
_____ copies, THE LABOR MONOPOLY

_____ (total) copies for $_____

Unless otherwise ordered, reprints are sent by Fourth-Class Mail, which takes ten days to two weeks for delivery. For First-Class Mail delivery of these special supplements add 8c per copy; for Airmail 12c per copy.

Prices:

25 cents for a copy
$1 for any 5 copies
$5 for any 30 copies
$50 for any 500 copies
$80 for any 1000 copies
$70 per thousand for any 5000 or more copies

SHIP TO:

Name . . . . . .
Address . . . . . .
City . . . . . Zone . . . State . . . . . .

☐ Payment Enclosed
☐ Bill Me
☐ Bill My Company

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Section Six
September 1, 1962

HUMAN EVENTS
Your Weekly Washington Report

410 First Street S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

Reds on College Campuses

Hall, Davis, Aptheker, Others Speak at US Universities

By WILLIAM SCHULZ

THE FORMAL EDUCATION of Arvo Halberg ended three decades ago at the Lenin School in Moscow. There students learned the art of sabotage and the techniques of guerrilla warfare.

Now known as Gus Hall, general secretary of the Communist Party, USA, Arvo Halberg has returned to school. In one 12-day stretch recently, Hall delivered 37 speeches, most of them on university campuses.

Hall has embarked upon a concentrated, hard-sell campaign. He has followed instructions laid down in November, 1960, by Nikita Khrushchev, designed "to draw the younger generation into the struggle for . . . the great ideas of communism."

Appearing more and more frequently at colleges and universities across the country, Communist leaders slyly impart the party line under the guise of "academic freedom." They attack the House Committee on Un-American Activities, the FBI, the Smith Act, the Supreme Court. They condemn nuclear testing by the United States; call Soviet testing justified. They demand "peaceful co-existence," general disarmament and stepped-up trade with the "Socialist countries."

Hall and other top Communists have refused to register with the Justice Department, as required by the Internal Security Act of 1950. Yet, since the fall of 1961, national party representatives (billed as "Communist spokesmen") have addressed scores of college groups from coast to coast.

This campaign is being coordinated by a newly-formed Lecture Bureau located at 23 West 26th Street, New York City—address of the Communist Party, USA, and *The Worker*. College newspaper editors throughout the nation were notified of the bureau by mail. They were told not to worry about speakers' fees. Letters to them said, in part:

> Communism is a philosophy and movement which is more than one hundred years old and has many millions of adherents throughout the world. The Communist Party, USA, is in existence 43 years and it has, according to objective historians, made valuable contributions in the struggles of labor, of the Negro people, and for the cause of peace, democracy and social progress generally. Communists have made heavy sacrifices in the course of these struggles. They ought to be given a fair hearing.

TOURING COMMUNISTS include party boss Hall, who spoke to 19,000 students on five West Coast campuses last winter. Hall began his Pacific jaunt February 10 at the University of Washington with an off-campus talk before 350 people in Seattle. He addressed 12,000 persons at the University of Oregon in Eugene the next afternoon and 2,500 that evening at Oregon College of Education in Monmouth. Twenty-four hours later, Hall spoke to 1,000 persons at Lewis and Clark College in Portland, Oregon. On February 14, Hall saw hundreds turned away from Botsford Auditorium at Reed College in Portland, where he next spoke.

Hall—a fanatical revolutionary who has admitted under oath that he is ready to "take up arms" against the United States government—tells his audiences that the Internal Security Act "threatens not only a handful of Communists but the liberties of all."

Another regular visitor on the American college campus is Herbert Aptheker, one of the Communist party's chief theoreticians and its official historian. Aptheker has written that "American police are notorious for frameups, especially where some political element is present—as with Negroes, strikers, labor leaders, Left-wingers."

He has displayed, too, a convenient ability to overlook Soviet tyranny. When questioned by one student about the mass murder of Hungarian Freedom Fighters, Aptheker replied the situation was "misunderstood" due to the deliberate distortion of American newspapers.

ARNOLD JOHNSON
Party Legislative Director

GUS HALL
General Secretary

ELIZABETH FLYNN
National Party Chairman

BENJAMIN DAVIS
Veteran Party Member

HERBERT APTHEKER
Theoretician, Historian

COPYRIGHT 1962

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Security agencies report that Aptheker has recently appeared at Wayne State University in Detroit; Antioch College in Yellow Springs, Ohio; Rutgers University in New Brunswick, New Jersey; and the University of Chicago.

Mortimer Daniel Rubin, a recent college graduate, has been identified as national youth director of the Communist party. He has crisscrossed the country, mapping plans for a nationwide Marxist front, and calls himself a representative of the Progressive Youth Organizing Committee.

A recent midwest trip took him to Antioch College; Ohio University, Athens; Ohio State University, Columbus; and the University of Chicago, among others. A southern trip included stops at William and Mary College in Williamsburg, Virginia; the University of North Carolina in Chapel Hill; and Duke University in Durham, North Carolina. He preceded Gus Hall at the University of Washington and Reed College.

Another member of the party's Lecture Bureau is 58-year-old Benjamin Davis, who has served time in Federal prison for "conspiring to teach and advocate the violent overthrow" of the Federal government. A party member since 1933, Davis has lost none of his enthusiasm. After one college appearance last year, Davis confided to a fellow Communist:

"I could tell they were impressed with me. These students should be in the party. . . . These were people of potential action whose activities must be directed in the right direction."

Davis returned this year to his alma mater, Harvard University, where 600 students heard Law School Dean Erwin Griswold tell him, "I thoroughly accept you as an American." Griswold went on to say that Davis must be "respected for his conviction and determination."

New York's Arnold Johnson, a veteran Marxist who now serves as legislative director of the Communist party, has addressed several college groups. Seven hundred students jammed the chapel at Hamilton College in Clinton, New York, last spring to hear Johnson brand as a "deliberate lie" the charge that Communists are agents of a foreign power.

The Hamilton College newspaper reported that Johnson won applause because students "admired his guts. . . . He is a good fighter." A typical Johnson assertion: "I think our government is going to make a big mistake in continuing [nuclear] tests. This period of history points to one thing: 'Co-existence or no existence.' Communists today declare that war is unthinkable."

Comrade Johnson is not always so outspoken. Slated recently to debate former Communist Herbert Romerstein on a New York radio show, Johnson stormed out of the studio when he learned the identity of his youthful adversary.

THERE ARE CERTAIN OPPONENTS that Communist speakers will not take on. Romerstein—now an expert consultant to government security agencies—is one. So are Herbert Philbrick, Barbara Hartle and John Lautner, all of whom knew the Communist conspiracy from the inside.

Someone called at party headquarters not long ago to request that Hall debate one of the above. The caller was told that no Communist would appear on the same platform with a "liar, stool pigeon or paid informer."

Another Red speaker is Sam Kushner, until recently midwest editor of *The Worker*. Journalist Kushner has been reassigned to the West Coast, where he has taken up duties as Los Angeles editor of the *People's World*, a California party newspaper.

Kushner had been in Chicago since January, 1939, and was well-known to Chicago police. On July 10, 1954, a car driven by Kushner struck and killed a Chicago man. At the time, Kushner was operating as an underground party agent and carried a fraudulent driver's license under the name of Stephen Morse. He displayed the license to police and then disappeared. He later obtained another permit under the name of Philip Heller. Communist headquarters reports that Kushner—alias Morse, alias Heller—also is available for West Coast college dates.

Prominent among those on the college speaking circuit is Elizabeth Gurley Flynn, the party's national chairman.

Last fall, Miss Flynn led a delegation of American Communists to the 22nd Congress of the Communist party in Moscow. During this trip, she and two American compatriots appeared on a Soviet TV show to give a thoroughly dishonest account of conditions in the United States. On November 7, she joined the leaders of world communism atop the reviewing stand during a special parade in Moscow.

Miss Flynn—a so-called "Smith Act victim"—recently offered some words of advice to party speakers less experienced than she:

"Don't let the [anti-Communist] opposition fill in all the front rows, to sneer and scoff at the speaker. Let them sit back in the hall and surround them with people friendly to the speaker."

SO MUCH for the words of Elizabeth Gurley Flynn. The advice of Herbert Philbrick—who spent years within the Communist party as an FBI agent—is incomparably more valuable:

> Our young people should demand that their schools and colleges provide them with information about communism. This is not being done now. This they should demand because it is impossible for them—or for anyone—to fight an enemy they do not know. Our young people must also diligently study, learn and come to appreciate the wonderful heritage, the great things of value which we have come to protect and defend in this country.
>
> If they come to truly understand the value of the heritage given to us, bequeathed to us, I am sure then that they will realize the vital importance of defending and maintaining the wonderful freedom we have in this country and fight against the subversive activities of the Communist apparatus.

ORDER BLANK

HUMAN EVENTS, 410 First Street, S. E. Washington 3, D. C.

Send me ..... reprints of "REDS ON COLLEGE CAMPUSES" at $ .....

Additional copies of this 2-page article: single copy 15c (coins, not stamps); 15 copies $1; 35 copies $2; 125 copies $5; 300 copies $10; 1,000 copies $20. In 5,000 lots $15 per thousand. Unless otherwise ordered, reprints are sent by fourth-class mail, which takes ten days to two weeks for delivery. For first class delivery of 2-page articles, add ½c per copy; for airmail, add 2c per copy.

☐ Payment enclosed ☐ Bill me

Enter my subscription ☐ 5 weeks for $1 ☐ 1 year for $12.50

Name _____

Street _____

City _____ Zone _____ State _____

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

Section Seven
September 1, 1962

HUMAN EVENTS
Your Weekly Washington Report

410 First Street, S. E.
Washington 3, D. C.

The UN: 'Biggest Fifth Column in the World'

By REPRESENTATIVE JAMES B. UTT (R.-Calif.)

Extracts from a January 15, 1962, speech in Congress

"Here in New York City, you Americans have the biggest fifth column in the world—the United Nations."
—Lord Beaverbrook, noted British publisher.

OUR CONSTITUTION provides: "This Constitution, and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land."

Hence, any law enacted by Congress pursuant to a treaty becomes the supreme law of the land even though it would otherwise be unconstitutional.

The supremacy of laws under a treaty was clearly set forth in the decision of the US Supreme Court in 1920 in the *Missouri vs. Holland* case wherein a Federal law, otherwise unconstitutional, was held valid because of a treaty between Canada and the United States. This decision clearly held that where there was a conflict between the provisions of our Constitution and the provisions of a treaty, this conflict must be resolved in favor of the treaty. This same doctrine has been extended to include executive agreements.

The result of this situation has been to destroy our limited form of republican government and has denied to each state a republican form of government as guaranteed by the Constitution and has supplanted it with a government of unlimited powers which destroys the historical separation of executive, judicial and legislative branches of our government. This was certainly never envisioned by the framers of the Constitution.

When the United Nations Charter was submitted to the Senate for ratification, great stress was laid upon Article 2, Subparagraph 7, which states:

"Nothing contained in the present Charter shall authorize the United Nations to intervene in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of any state or shall require the members to submit such matters to settlement under the present Charter."

I do not believe that the US Senate would have ratified this treaty without relying on the above-quoted paragraph. However, this paragraph has been completely and constantly ignored over the past 16 years; and every organization, commission and covenant flowing out of the United Nations Charter has been for the sole purpose of intervening in matters which are essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the member nations as well as the several states of our own Union, completely destroying the sovereignty of each state to legislate in contravention of the treaty provisions.

Moses Moskowitz, a noted internationalist, made the following statement in the *American Bar Association Journal* of April, 1949:

"Once a matter has become, in one way or another, the subject of regulation by the United Nations, be it by resolution of the General Assembly or by convention between member states at the instance of the United Nations, that subject ceases to be a matter being 'essentially within the domestic jurisdiction of the member states.' As a matter of fact, such a position represents the official view of the United Nations, as well as of the member states that have voted in favor of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Hence, neither the Declaration nor the projected covenant, nor any agreement that may be reached in the future on the machinery of implementation of human rights, can in any way be considered as violative of the letter or spirit of Article 2 of the Charter."

Following this, the Acheson State Department made this official declaration:

"There is now no longer any real difference between domestic and foreign affairs."

These statements plainly render Article 2, Subparagraph 7, of the Charter meaningless.

John Foster Dulles, former Secretary of State, in a speech before the American Bar Association in Louisville, Kentucky, April 12, 1952, said:

"Treaty law can override the Constitution. . . . They [treaties] can cut across the rights given the people by the constitutional Bill of Rights."

This conversion of our limited republic to an unlimited democracy is a death blow to this nation.

THE REALIZATION of this tragedy was the reason for the proposal of the Bricker Amendment nearly a decade ago. The Bricker Amendment simply provided that when there was a conflict between the Constitution of the United States and a treaty, that conflict must be resolved in favor of the Constitution, and yet the Bricker Amendment was defeated by a narrow margin under strong propaganda pressure from the Council on Foreign Relations and politicians who gloried in the unlimited power conveyed upon them by the United Nations Charter. There were just too many politicians and too few statesmen.

Now let us look at the record.

. . .Trygve Lie, longtime Secretary General of the United Nations. . .stated flatly. . .there was a secret agreement between Alger Hiss and Molotov to the effect that the head of the United Nations military staff should always be a Communist. That agreement has never been broken; and we have had a succession of Communists filling that post, the present one being Mr. Arkadev. As a first consequence of this treasonous agreement, this country lost its first military engagement in Korea at a cost . . . of more than $20 billion and 145,000 American casualties, to say nothing of the honor and prestige of this nation.

This was the first war in which we engaged, not as the United States military force, but as a United Na-

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

HISS



MOLOTOV

tions force, although we contributed 90 per cent of the men and the money.

How convenient this was to the Communists to have one of their own men as head of the United Nations military staff, who reviewed all orders going from the Pentagon to General MacArthur and gave them to our enemy before General MacArthur received them.

The enemy, which consisted of the Red Communist army and Russian equipment and fliers, was driven back to the Yalu River and given sanctuary on the other side. General MacArthur could have destroyed the enemy in short order had he been permitted to pursue them across the river from whence they came. Because General MacArthur could not in good conscience follow these orders, he was recalled and the Korean War ended in dismal defeat.

We were sold the UN on a promise of peace, but we failed to realize that this peace was to be on Communist terms; in fact, it was to be a total victory for the international Communist conspiracy. . . .

The United Nations has not as yet passed a resolution of censorship against Russia for its Hungarian blood bath but rather stood idly by and helped to betray the Hungarian freedom fighters into the hands of Russia. It could not even get a censorship resolution against India for its military invasion of Portuguese enclaves. . . .

In fact, it [the UN] has passed no resolutions of condemnation against Russia or any of its satellites or against the so-called neutral countries but busies itself with resolutions of condemnation against our allies, such as Portugal, Holland and France.

The power, the honor and the prestige of America have fallen from their high point in 1945 to an absolute zero today.

The action in Katanga is nothing short of lunacy. . . . The United Nations . . . sent troops into the Congo to prevent self-determination of a civilized and Christian province which did not want to be a part of a Communist-controlled Congo.

Our defeat in the abortive Cuban invasion can be laid on the doorstep of the United Nations, as the United Nations treaty prohibits us from engaging in any military operations without the consent of the United Nations Security Council in which Russia holds the veto power. . . .

IF WE CONTINUE our membership in this organization [the UN], you can look to see this nation condemned for having our naval base at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

You can also look to see us condemned for owning the Panama Canal, and the same 66 votes which threw France out of its legal position in Bizerte can vote us out of Guantanamo and out of Panama. . . . You say this is fantastic? You would have said that the present situation in Cuba was . . . fantastic . . . 10 years ago.

You can expect to see a one-world government, Communist controlled, under the United Nations. You will see the United Nations run up astronomical debts which we, under the terms of the treaty, are bound to pay.

William Z. Foster, former head of the Communist Party, USA . . . [in his book] *Toward a Soviet America* . . . gives a complete blueprint of the conquest of America by the international Communist conspiracy. Following are some of the things you may look for under the controlled Communist America as stated by William Z. Foster:

"The final aim of the Communist International is to overthrow world capitalism and replace it by world communism. . . . The Communist Party of the United States . . . is the American section of the Communist International. The Communist International carries out a united revolutionary program on a world scale. . . .

"The American Soviet government will be organized along the broad lines of the Russian Soviets. . . . Under the dictatorship, all the capitalist parties—Republican, Democratic, Progressive, Socialist, *etc.*—will be liquidated. . . . Likewise, will be dissolved all other organizations—including chambers of commerce, employers' associations, Rotary clubs, American Legion, YMCA and such fraternal orders as the Masons, Odd Fellows, Elks, Knights of Columbus, *etc.* Lawyers will be abolished.

"The press, the motion pictures, the radio, the theater, will be taken over by the government. . . .

"Studies will be revolutionized, being cleansed of religious, patriotic and other features of the bourgeois ideology. . . . "

"The UN has become a trap. Let's go it alone."

—The late Senator Robert A. Taft.

"Unless the UN is completely reorganized without the Communist nations in it, we should get out of it."

—Former President Herbert Hoover.

"Don't pay attention to the UN."

—Former British Prime Minister Winston Churchill.

ORDER BLANK

HUMAN EVENTS, 410 First Street, S. E., Washington 3, D. C.

Send me ..... reprints of "THE UN: BIGGEST FIFTH COLUMN IN THE WORLD'" at $ .....

☐ Payment enclosed ☐ Bill me

Additional copies of this 2-page article: single copy 15c (coins, not stamps); 15 copies $1; 35 copies $2; 125 copies $5; 300 copies $10; 1,000 copies $20. In 5,000 lots $15 per thousand. Unless otherwise ordered, reprints are sent by fourth-class mail, which takes ten days to two weeks for delivery. For first class delivery of 2-page articles, add ½c per copy; for airmail, add 2c per copy.

Enter my subscription ☐ 5 weeks for $1 ☐ 1 year for $12.50

Name .....

Street .....

City ..... Zone ..... State .....

PRODUCED BY UNZ.ORG
ELECTRONIC REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED